

Hello, I am greatly concerned about the lack of confidentiality, anonymity, and de-identifying mentioned in the DS&R.

My answers are as follows.

2: Lack of confidentiality/anonymity/de-identifying

3: Broader, all government data and any use of that data should be covered

9: Context of social justice and harm

10: Would the average layman reasonably assume the data would be confidential/anonymous/de-identified

11: Social justice/minority group assistance

12: Social justice/minority group assistance

13: Enforce.

15: Integrity of data from source or subject/the legitimacy of the data - is it ""junk data"", method of collection, bias in collection, context of data, legitimacy of data.

20: 100% accountability, only when approved, and with just purposes in mind

23: Yes, 100% accountability & visibility, including notification of misuse to source/subject individuals linked or even potentially linked to data

24: Type/Nature, users, methods of use, methods of potential misuse, justification by NDC

25: Accountability to source/subject (individuals in particular)

26: quality/integrity

27: 1 year, no longer.

28: Highest levels of personal, professional, and historical scrutiny.

37: Common good, social justice, lay person understanding, identifier/confidentiality breeches

38: No

40: Severe bordering on extreme

42: As far as possible.

43: God yes, in fact it should engage the public and seek feedback and discussion constantly.

Greater than anything is my belief that the use of data will be paramount to social integrity and justice in the years to come. I firmly believe that this the Australian government has a historically infamous lack of knowledge, context, and accountability in the realms of information technology and data security, and have no trust and in fact considerable anti trust in the systems in place.

Public, non government experts are absolutely required to be involved at all levels of this type of issue, as government process, procedure, and views cannot, simply CANNOT be expected or trusted with the topic. Experts like Asher Wolf et al should be engaged and embedded from the lowest to the highest levels of these issues.